

# BUKTI KORESPONDENSI

<b>Lampiran</b>	:	Peer review proses korespondensi submit publikasi jurnal ilmiah Internasional Bereputasi.
<b>Judul Artikel</b>	:	Bio-physicochemical markers of the Aedes aegypti breeding water in endemic and non-endemic area.
<b>Nama Jurnal</b>	:	International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).
<b>Index</b>	:	Terindeks pada database internasional bereputasi dan berfaktor dampak.
<b>Link Status Jurnal</b>	:	<a href="https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21101029728#tabs=0">https://www.scopus.com/sourceid/21101029728#tabs=0</a>



## Source details

### International Journal of Public Health Science

Scopus coverage years: from 2019 to Present

Publisher: Intelektual Pustaka Media Utama

ISSN: 2252-8806 E-ISSN: 2620-4126

Subject area: [Medicine: Medicine \(miscellaneous\)](#) [Social Sciences: Health \(social science\)](#) [Medicine: Health Policy](#)  
[Medicine: Public Health, Environmental and Occupational Health](#) [Nursing: Nutrition and Dietetics](#)

Source type: Journal

[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#) [Source Homepage](#)

CiteScore 2022

0.9



SJR 2022

0.159



SNIP 2022

0.284



[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

#### Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2022 counts the citations received in 2019-2022 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2019-2022, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2019-2022. [Learn more >](#)

CiteScore 2022

0.9 =  $\frac{376 \text{ Citations 2019 - 2022}}{421 \text{ Documents 2019 - 2022}}$

Calculated on 05 May, 2023

CiteScoreTracker 2023

1.0 =  $\frac{502 \text{ Citations to date}}{516 \text{ Documents to date}}$

Last updated on 08 November, 2023 - Updated monthly

### CiteScore rank 2022

Category	Rank	Percentile
Medicine		
Medicine (miscellaneous)	#229/309	26th
Social Sciences		
Health (social science)	#257/344	25th

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site](#)

No	Item	Tanggal	Halaman
1	Submission article and acknowledgement	18 Maret 2019	2
2	Editor Decision and Reviewers Feedback	29 Maret 2019	3
3	Revised and Proof read	20 September 2019	4
4	Accept submission	30 Desember 2019	5
5	Published pada vol. 8 no. 2: June 2019	28 Februari 2020	6

## Submission article and acknowledgement

[JPHS] Submission Acknowledgement

From: Lina Handayani (linafkm@gmail.com)

To: hiragi\_12@yahoo.com

Date: Monday, March 18, 2019 at 01:37 PM GMT+7

The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).

Nurul Hidayah:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, "BIO-PHYSCOCHEMICAL MARKERS OF THE *Aedes aegypti* BREEDING WATER IN ENDEMIC AND NON-ENDEMIC AREA" to International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS). With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Manuscript URL:

<https://www.iaescore.com/journals/index.php/IJPHS/author/submission/18883>

Username: nurul\_hidayah12

If you have any questions, please contact me. Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Lina Handayani

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)

<http://www.iaescore.com/journals/index.php/IJPHS>

## Editor Decision and Reviewers Feedback

### Editor/Author Correspondence

Editor Subject: [IJPHS] Editor Decision

[DELETE](#)

2019-  
03-29  
04:47  
AM

The following message is being delivered on behalf of International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS).

Dear Prof/Dr/Mr/Mrs: Nurul Hidayah,

We have reached a decision regarding your submission entitled "BIO-PHYSICOCHEMICAL MARKERS OF THE Aedes aegypti BREEDING WATER IN ENDEMIC AND NON-ENDEMIC AREA" to International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS), an OPEN ACCESS journal.

Our decision is to ACCEPT with revisions

Make sure the written of number is in ENGLISH, MIND THE use of comma and dot.

The goal of your revised paper is to describe novel technical results.

A high quality paper MUST has:

- (1) a clear statement of the problem the paper is addressing --> explain in "Introduction" section
- (2) the proposed solution(s)/method(s)/approach(es)/framework(s)/algorithm(s) ....
- (3) results achieved. It describes clearly what has been done before on the problem, and what is new.

In preparing your revised paper, you should pay attention to:

1. Please ensure that: all references have been cited in your text; Each citation should be written in the order of appearance in the text; The references must be presented in numbering and CITATION ORDER is SEQUENTIAL [1], [2], [3], [4], .....  
Please download & study our published papers for your references:

- <http://iaescore.com/journals/index.php/ijere>

- <http://journal.uad.ac.id/index.php/edulearn>

- <http://iaescore.com/journals> (other journals)

(Please use "Search" menu under "JOURNAL CONTENT" menu in right side of the site)

2. An Introduction should contain the following three (3) parts:

- Background: Authors have to make clear what the context is. Ideally, authors should give an idea of the state-of-the art of the field the report is about.

- The Problem: If there was no problem, there would be no reason for writing a manuscript, and definitely no reason for reading it. So, please tell readers why they should proceed reading. Experience shows that for this part a few lines are often sufficient.

- The Proposed Solution: Now and only now! - authors may outline the contribution of the manuscript. Here authors have to make sure readers point out what are the novel aspects of authors work. Authors should place the paper in proper context by citing relevant papers. At least, 5 references (recently journal articles) are used in this section.

3. Results and discussion section: The presentation of results should be simple and straightforward in style. This section report the most important findings, including results of statistical analyses as appropriate. You should present the comparison between performance of your approach and other researches. Results given in figures should not be repeated in tables. It is very important to prove that your manuscript has a significant value and not trivial.

Please submit your revised paper within 6 weeks.

I look forward for hearing from you

Thank you

Best Regards,  
Dr. Lina Handayani  
Universitas Ahmad Dahlan  
Phone +62274379418  
Fax +62274381523  
[linafkm@gmail.com](mailto:linafkm@gmail.com)


Update your metadata in our online system when you submit your revised paper through our online system, included:





- Authors name are presented without salutation
- Authors Name are presented Title Case (ex: Michael Lankan, and NOT written--> michael lankan or MICHAEL LANKAN). Add all authors of your paper as per your revised paper
- Title of revised paper (ex: Application of space vector .... , NOT --> APPLICATION OF SPACE VECTOR ....)
- Content of updated abstract

International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)  
<http://www.iaescore.com/journals/index.php/IJPHS>

Close

# Revised and Proof read



---

HOME
ABOUT
USER HOME
SEARCH
CURRENT
ARCHIVED
ANNOUNCEMENTS

Home > User > Author > Submissions > #18883 > **Editing**

## #18883 Editing

SUMMARY REVIEW **EDITING**

### Submission

Authors: Nurul Hidayah, Dwi Rahmawati

Title: Bio-physicochemical markers of the Aedes aegypti breeding water in endemic and non-endemic area

Section: Epidemiology

Editor: Mane Abhay Baburwan (Review), Jamuna Prakash (Review), Jay Silverman (Review)

### Copyediting

[COPYEDIT INSTRUCTIONS](#)

REVISION METADATA	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE
1. Initial Copyedit File: None	---	---	---
2. Author Copyedit File: None <input type="button" value="Choose File"/> No file chosen <input type="button" value="Upload"/>	---	---	0/1
3. Final Copyedit File: None	---	---	---

Copyedit Comments: [No Comments](#)

### Layout

Galley Format

FILE	SIZE
1. PDF <a href="#">VIEW GUIDE</a> <input type="button" value="Download File"/> <b>#18883-17978-1-PM.PDF</b> 2019-09-20	269

Supplementary Files

FILE	SIZE
None	

Layout Comments: [No Comments](#)


### Proofreading

REVISION METADATA	REQUEST	UNDERWAY	COMPLETE
1. Author	---	---	0/1
2. Proofreader	---	---	---
3. Layout Editor	---	---	---


Proofreading Corrections: [No Comments](#) [PROOFING INSTRUCTIONS](#)

**International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)**  
p-ISSN: 2252-8806, e-ISSN: 2620-4128

This journal is published by the [Indonesian Institute of Health Sciences \(IIHS\)](#) in collaboration with [Indonesian Institute of Advanced Economic and Science \(IAES\)](#).



[View IJPHS Stats](#)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

**USER**

You are logged in as...  
**nerul\_hidayah12**

- [My Profile](#)
- [Log Out](#)

**CITATION ANALYSIS**

- [Scopus](#)
- [Google Scholar](#)
- [Scholar Matrix](#)
- [Scipropt](#)
- [Dimensions](#)

**SPECIAL LINKS**

- [Author Guidelines](#)
- [Editorial Boards](#)
- [Online Submission](#)
- [Abstracting and Indexing](#)
- [Publication Ethics](#)
- [Visitor Statistics](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

**LINK PER ISSUE**

2022: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
 2021: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
 2020: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
 2019: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
 2018: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
 2017: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
 2016: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
 2015: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
 2014: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
 2013: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
 2012: [Jul](#), [Dec](#)

**AUTHOR**

Submissions

- [Active \(1\)](#)
- [Archive \(2\)](#)
- [New Submission](#)

**JOURNAL CONTENT**

Search:

Search Scope: **All**

Browse

- [By Issue](#)
- [By Author](#)
- [By Title](#)

**INFORMATION**

- [For Readers](#)
- [For Authors](#)
- [For Librarians](#)

# Accept submission



[HOME](#) [ABOUT](#) [USER HOME](#) [SEARCH](#) [COMMENT](#) [ARCHIVES](#) [ANNOUNCEMENTS](#)

[Home](#) > [User](#) > [Author](#) > [Submissions](#) > #18883 > [Review](#)

## #18883 Review

SUMMARY **REVIEW** EDITING

### Submission

**Authors** Nurul Hidayah, Devi Rahmasari  
**Title** Bio-physicochemical markers of the Aedes aegypti breeding water in endemic and non-endemic area  
**Section** Epidemiology  
**Editor** Hani Ashay Babrewahan (Review)  
Jemuna Prakash (Review)  
Jay Silverman (Review)

### Peer Review

#### Round 1

**Review Version** [18883-24141-1-REV001](#) 2019-03-18  
**Initiated** 2019-03-19  
**Last modified** 2019-03-26  
**Uploaded file** None

### Editor Decision

**Decision** **Accept Submission 2019-12-30**  
**Notify Editor** [editor/Author Email Recs](#) 2019-03-29  
**Editor Version** None  
**Author Version** None  
**Upload Author Version**  No file chosen

**International Journal of Public Health Science (IJPHS)**

p-ISSN: 2252-8806, e-ISSN: 2620-4125

This journal is published by the [Indonesian Pustaka Media Utama \(IPMU\)](#) in collaboration with [Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science \(IAES\)](#).



[View IJPHS Stats](#)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#).

#### USER

You are logged in as...  
nurul\_hidayah12  
• [My Profile](#)  
• [Log Out](#)

#### CITATION ANALYSIS

- Scopus
- Google Scholar
- Scholar Metrics
- Scimago
- Dimensions

#### SPECIAL LINKS

- [Author Guidelines](#)
- [Editorial Board](#)
- [Online Submission](#)
- [Abstracting and Indexing](#)
- [Publication Ethics](#)
- [Visitor Statistics](#)
- [Contact Us](#)

#### LINK PER ISSUE

2022: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
2021: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
2020: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
2019: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
2018: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
2017: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
2016: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
2015: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
2014: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
2013: [Mar](#), [Jun](#), [Sep](#), [Dec](#)  
2012: [Jul](#), [Dec](#)

#### AUTHOR

**Submissions**  
• [Active \(1\)](#)  
• [Archive \(1\)](#)  
• [New Submission](#)

#### JOURNAL CONTENT

Search:   
Search Scope:   
All

#### Browse

- [By Issue](#)
- [By Author](#)
- [By Title](#)

#### INFORMATION

- [For Readers](#)
- [For Authors](#)
- [For Librarians](#)

## Bio-physicochemical markers of the *Aedes aegypti* breeding water in endemic and non-endemic area

Nurul Hidayah<sup>1</sup>, Dwi Rahmawati<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Health Promotion, Health Faculty, Sari Mulia University, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Midwifery, Health Faculty, Sari Mulia University, Indonesia

---

### Article Info

#### Article history:

Received Mar 18, 2019

Revised Apr 10, 2019

Accepted May 16, 2019

---

#### Keywords:

*Aedes aegypti*

Dissolved oxygen

pH

Salinity

Temperature

---

### ABSTRACT

The survival of *Aedes aegypti* larvae is inseparable from the adequacy of food, including organic substances available in the breeding water. It is very dependent on the level of water markers such as temperature, salinity, Dissolved Oxygen, and pH. The study used quantitative observational analytic with a case control study design. Case group was consisted of breeding water in endemic area and control group was in non-endemic area. The sample size was 43 samples for each group, collected by purposive sampling technique. Data were analyzed by Chi-square and Mann-Whitney test. Larvae mostly presence in endemic area (68.3%) and mostly absent in non-endemic area (85.4%) ( $p$ -value=0.002). Temperature in endemic area mostly in 27-30°C (86%) and non-endemic area mostly in <27°C or >30°C (72.1%) ( $p$ -value=0.000). Salinity in endemic and non-endemic areas has no difference ( $p$ -value=0.266). DO in endemic areas were mostly in 5.02-7.82 mg/l (76.7%). While DO in non-endemic area was mostly in <5.02 mg/l or >7.82 mg/l (95.3%) ( $p$ -value=0.001). The pH<6 or >7.8 is mostly in non-endemic areas (87.8%) and pH 6-7.8 is mostly in endemic areas (63.4%) ( $p$ -value=0.000). Bio-physicochemical markers of breeding sites water have differences between endemic and non-endemic area except salinity. The temperature, salinity, DO, and pH affected the presence of larvae and the most affected is DO marker. While the marker that affected the presence of larvae in non-endemic area is pH.

Copyright © 2019 Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science.  
All rights reserved.

---

### Corresponding Author:

Nurul Hidayah,

Department of Health Promotion, Health Faculty,

Sari Mulia University,

Jl. Pramuka No. 2, Kelurahan Pemurus Luar, Kota Banjarmasin 70436.

Email: hiragi\_12@yahoo.com

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DHF) is a potentially fatal disease that is transmitted through the *Aedes* mosquito vector carrying the dengue virus. The World Health Organization (WHO) data shows that Indonesia has the highest incidence of DHF among Southeast Asian countries [1-2]. The incidence of this serious disease in Indonesia is known to fluctuate. The incidence of DHF was 77.96 per 100,000 population in 2016 and 22.55 per 100,000 populations in 2017, while the DHF mortality rate was 0.79 in 2016 and 0.75 in 2017. South Kalimantan province experiences some incidence of DHF every year, with especially serious outbreaks in several outbreak areas. In 2015 and 2016, all regencies and cities in the province experienced some incidence of DHF (100%), but this decreased slightly to 92.31% in 2017. One district that has consistently experienced DHF over the last 3 years is Banjar Regency [3-4]. Banjar Regency consists of 19 districts. Martapura District is an endemic area with the highest dengue incidence rate of 98.0 per 100,000 population in 2016 and 71.7 per 100,000 population in 2017 [5-6].

Data shows that DHF is an urgent problem that requires the implementation of control measures so that the incidence of infection does not increase. One approach involves breaking the chain of transmission by controlling the prevalence of the vector *A. aegypti*. It is known that the pre-mature breeding places for *A. aegypti* (larvae) are located in water reservoirs. Damanik's research [7] identified the highest average number of larvae (68.89) as occurring in well water. This shows that the most favored *A. aegypti* mosquito breeding location is in well water. The survival of *A. aegypti* larvae is highly dependent on the availability of nutrients, including organic substances available in breeding water. Thus, its survival is extremely dependent on breeding water quality [7].

Water quality, as measured through observation of various chemical and physical markers, is one of the important factors determining the survival of living organisms in water. One of the physical markers that play an important role in the development of the DHF vector is temperature [8]. Damanik's study [7] found that the optimal water temperature of a breeding site was 27.6°C; at this temperature, the average abundance of *A. aegypti* larvae was found to be the highest. This finding was confirmed by Afolabi, et al. [9], who found that water temperatures at *A. aegypti* breeding sites typically fell within the range of 26.5°C-29.3°C.

In addition to physical markers, several water chemistry markers have also been shown to influence the development of *A. aegypti* larvae. These include salinity and Dissolved Oxygen (DO), which have been proven to have a significant relationship with the development of *A. aegypti* larvae. Based on the results of Rao's research [10] on the characteristics of breeding sites, salinity has a significant correlation with the presence of *A. aegypti* larvae. In addition to salinity and DO, pH is also thought to influence the presence of larvae [10].

The pH level of water quantifies its degree of acidity or basicity. The pH scale ranges from 0 to 14; if the pH value is less than 7, it indicates an acidic environment, while a value above 7 indicates a basic environment [11]. The results of Gopalakhrisnan's study [12] showed that the average percentage of larvae that developed into mosquitoes in water with pH 5 was 76.5%, while the percentage for pH 7 was 98%, and the percentage for pH 9 was 86.5%.

These findings demonstrate the possible influence of temperature, salinity, DO, and water pH on the proliferation of mosquito vectors. Therefore, if appropriate measures are to be taken in order to control DHF in Banjar Regency, South Kalimantan Province, there is clearly a need for research into the effects of temperature, salinity, DO, and pH levels of *A. aegypti* breeding water on larvae in endemic and non-endemic DHF areas there.

## 2. RESEARCH METHOD

This study used quantitative, observational, and analytic methods with a case-control study design. The case group was an aquatic breeding site located in an endemic area, while the control group was located in a non-endemic area. The sample used in this study consists of breeding sites located throughout Banjar Regency, South Kalimantan Province, Indonesia. Tanjung Rema Darat Village was selected as the site for the case group because it has the highest DHF incidence. The sample for the control group was taken from a breeding site located in Tanah Abang Village. Samples were taken by a purposive sampling technique from about 86 households, or 43 households for each group.

Visual observations of water breeding sites were conducted to obtain the data measuring larvae presence. Water samples were taken using a dipper, then stored in bottles. Samples were then stored in a cooler box. Data collection of larvae presence, temperature (°C), and pH markers took place directly in the field, while salinity and dissolved oxygen data were measured in environmental health laboratories. Well water was the type of *A. aegypti* breeding water which was studied. Bio-physicochemical markers of breeding water were categorized based on previous research. The larvae presence categories were defined as in Hidayah's research [13], that is, as "found" and "not found", or "present" and "absent".

The temperature and *Dissolved Oxygen* were categorized based on Sanchez's study [14]. The categories for temperature are "27-30°C", and "less than 27°C (<27°C) or >30°C". *Dissolved Oxygen* are categorized as "5.02-7.82 mg/l" or "<5.02 mg/l, or >7.82 mg/l". The salinity categories, defined based on Anggraini's research [15], are "4-6 gr/l", and "<4 gr/l or >6 gr/l". Water pH levels are categorized as either "less than 6 (<6) or >7.8" or "6-7.8". This categorization is based on the results of Ridha's study [16], which found that the average pH value of a positive water reservoir containing larvae is pH 6-7.8. Data were analyzed by Chi-square test to determine the effects of the water markers on larvae existence, and then Logistic Regression tests were used to identify the dominant parameters in each group. The differences between each marker for endemic and non-endemic areas are analyzed using the Mann-Whitney test.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Characteristic of breeding sites

Characteristics of *A. aegypti* mosquito breeding sites include color, the presence or absence of a lid, and the location of the water container. These are presented for sites from endemic and non-endemic DHF areas in Table 1.

Table 1. Characteristics of *Aedes aegypti* mosquito breeding sites and the effect on larvae presence in endemic and non-endemic area

Characteristic	Endemic area		Non-endemic area		p-value
	n	%	n	%	
Color					
Dark	30	69.8	7	16.3	0.001
Bright	13	30.2	36	83.7	(<0.05)
Total	43	100	43	100	
Lid existence					
Not exist	27	62.8	5	11.6	0.000
Exist	16	37.2	38	88.4	(<0.05)
Total	43	100	43	100	
Position					
Outside	3	6.9	14	32.6	0.711
Inside	40	93.1	29	67.4	(>0.05)
Total	43	100	43	100	

Table 1 shows that the breeding sites for *A. aegypti* mosquitoes in endemic areas are mostly dark in color (69.8%), do not have a lid (62.8%), and are located indoors (93.1%). Breeding sites in non-endemic areas mostly share bright colors (83.7%), have a lid (88.4%), and are located indoors (67.4%). Statistically, the color characteristics and the presence of the lid have significant differences, while the positions of the breeding sites do not.

#### 3.2. Larvae presence in endemic and non-endemic area

Observations of larvae in each area show that larvae are typically present in endemic areas (68.3%), while larvae are usually absent in non-endemic area (85.4%) as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. The comparison of larvae presence in endemic and non-endemic areas

#### 3.3. Temperature marker in endemic and non-endemic area

A comparison of statistical descriptors (mean±SD) of temperature data for endemic and non-endemic area is presented in Table 2. The results of a normality test showed that the temperature parameters were not normally distributed, and so they could not be analyzed by the parametric independent t-test. An appropriate alternative test is thus given by non-parametric Mann-Whitney test. The percentage comparison of temperature marker based on categories “27-30°C” and “<27°C or >30°C” showed that temperature in endemic areas mostly fell within the range 27-30°C (86%). The temperatures in non-endemic



areas were mostly in “<27°C or >30°C” ranges (72.1%). The temperatures between endemic and non-endemic areas differed significantly, with  $p$ -value=0.000 as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2. The comparison of temperature in endemic and non-endemic areas

Water temperature is very influential on larvae proliferation, since generally larvae prefer warm places. That is why *Aedes sp.* is more common in the tropics. The time of hatching of an egg depends on the water temperature to a certain extent; an egg developing in warmer temperatures will hatch faster to become an instar. Results showed that at a temperature of 20°C, eggs hatched within 3.5 days, whereas if the temperature was raised to a temperature of 35°C, the eggs hatched within 2 days.

#### 3.4. Salinity marker in endemic and non-endemic area

A comparison of statistical descriptors (mean±SD) salinity data for endemic and non-endemic areas is presented in Table 2. Salinity data for endemic and non-endemic areas are not normally distributed. Statistical tests yielded a  $p$ -value of 0.266. This shows that there is no significant difference in salinity between endemic and non-endemic areas. Salinity in endemic areas mostly falls in the category of “4-6 gr/l” (65.1%), as is also the case in endemic areas (83.7%).

Table 2. Statistic scores and the effect of temperature, salinity, Dissolved Oxygen (DO), and pH on larvae presence in endemic and non-endemic area

Statistic score	Endemic area	p-value	Non-endemic area	p-value
Temperature (C°) Mean ± SD	27.51 ± 0.798	0.002 (<0.05)	25.70 ± 1.124	0.093 (>0.05)
Salinity (gr/l) Mean ± SD	4.058 ± 0.927	0.000 (<0.05)	4.472 ± 1.365	0.075 (>0.05)
DO (mg/l) Mean ± SD	6.393 ± 1.143	0.019 (<0.05)	7.253 ± 1.099	0.080 (>0.05)
pH Mean ± SD	6.527 ± 0.909	0.001 (<0.05)	5.499 ± 0.659	0.004 (<0.05)

Salinity is a measure expressed by the amount of salts dissolved in a volume of water. The amount of salts dissolved in water determines the level of salinity [17]. Research by Rao [10] and Anggraini [15] found a significant relationship between salinity and larva density. In addition, the results also show that temperature, DO, and pH in endemic areas are very conducive for mosquito breeding. This causes more larvae to be found in endemic areas than in non-endemic areas. The survival of *Aedes aegypti* larvae is dependent on the abundance of food, including organic substances available in the breeding water. It is thus very dependent on the level of water markers such as temperature, pH, salinity, and Dissolved Oxygen.

#### 3.5. Dissolved oxygen marker in endemic and non-endemic area

The statistic score (mean±SD) for dissolved oxygen markers for endemic and non-endemic areas are presented in Table 2. Based on the mean value, it is known that DO markers in endemic areas are lower than in non-endemic areas. The data obtained were normally distributed, and statistical tests produced a  $p$ -value of 0.001. There are thus significant differences in DO between endemic and non-endemic areas. Dissolved Oxygen markers were categorized into 2 groups. They are “5.02–7.82 mg/l” and “<5.02 mg/l or >7.82 mg/l”. DO levels in endemic areas were mostly in the category “5.02–7.82 mg/l”

(76.7%). Meanwhile, DO levels in non-endemic areas were mostly in the category “<5.02 mg/l or >7.82 mg/l” (95.3%) as shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3. The comparison of DO marker in endemic and non-endemic area

Larvae are also influenced by dissolved oxygen (DO). DO levels which support larval breeding are those in which there is sufficient dissolved oxygen to meet the oxygen requirements of the larvae in the water reservoirs, and also serve as a marker that food sources for larvae are available. Dissolved oxygen levels in water depend on the amount of vegetation in the water. This is because the vegetation in the waters conducts photosynthesis. The photosynthesis process will affect the presence of dissolved oxygen (DO) in water, which in turn will affect the density of mosquito larvae at the breeding site [18].

Dissolved oxygen is needed by all living bodies for breathing, metabolic processes, or for the exchange of substances which then produce energy for growth and breeding. In addition, oxygen is also needed for the oxidation of organic and inorganic materials in the aerobic process [19]. Several studies that are in line with this research include Rao [10], Gopalakrishnan [12], Sanchez [14], and Olayemi [20]. These studies have proven that DO has a positive influence on the presence of larvae; the higher the DO levels of the breeding water, the more larvae are found there.

### 3.6. pH marker in endemic and non-endemic area

Statistical descriptors of pH marker data including mean  $\pm$  SD values are presented in Table 2. PH levels in endemic and non-endemic areas were not normally distributed, so they were categorized into “pH 6-7.8” and “<6 or > 7.8” groups. Levels of pH 6-7.8 are mostly found in endemic areas (63.4%) compared to non-endemic areas (12.2%). The highest occurrences of pH “<6 or > 7.8” sites were in non-endemic areas (87.8%) as opposed to endemic areas (36.6%) as shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. The comparison of pH level in endemic and non-endemic area

Statistically, temperature, salinity, DO, and pH markers affect the presence of larvae in endemic areas. The most influential marker is Dissolved Oxygen. Breeding water with DO in the category “5.02-7.82 mg/l” has a risk of larvae 3 times greater than water with DO in the category “<5.02 mg/l or 7.82 mg/l” (OR=3.00). The only marker that significantly affects larvae presence in non-endemic areas is pH; other markers do not significantly affect the presence of larvae as shown in Table 2.

Besides this, water with pH that is too acidic or too alkaline will easily result in dead larvae. The levels of pH that are too acidic are thought to inhibit plankton growth. Plankton is one of the primary food sources for mosquito larvae, and a reduction in this food source reduces the larvae's chances of sustaining life. These results are in agreement with the results of previous studies, including those of Umar and Donpedro in Rao [10], Ridha [16], Olayemi [20], Thangamathi [21], and Madzlan [22]. Both pH and temperature affect larvae density. The pH and temperature levels are positively associated with larvae density. This is because pH and temperature have an impact on mosquitoes associated with osmoregulation and oxygen transport.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Bio-physicochemical markers of water samples from breeding sites show significant differences between endemic and non-endemic DHF areas, except in terms of salinity. The temperature, salinity, DO, and pH significantly affected the presence of larvae, with the DO marker showing the greatest affect. The marker that most affected the presence of larvae in non-endemic areas was pH.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education in the scheme of beginner lecturer research grant 2018.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Guzman A, Isturiz RE. Update on the global spread of dengue. *International of Journal Antimicrobial Agents*. Vol. 36: S40-S42. 2010.
- [2] World Health Organization. *Comprehensive guidelines for prevention and control of dengue and dengue haemorrhagic fever*. Revised and Expanded Edition. [www.apps.searo.who.int](http://www.apps.searo.who.int). 2011.
- [3] Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia. Data and Information, Indonesian Health Profile (in Bahasa: Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. *Data dan Informasi, Profil Kesehatan Indonesia*. Kemenkes RI. 2016.
- [4] Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia. Data and Information, Indonesian Health Profile (in Bahasa: Kementerian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. *Data dan Informasi, Profil Kesehatan Indonesia*. Kemenkes RI. 2017.
- [5] Banjar District Health Office. *Health Profile of Banjar Year 2016. Distric Health Office 2016 (in Bahasa: Dinas Kesehatan Kabupaten Banjar Profil Kesehatan Kabupaten Banjar Tahun 2016*. Dinkes Kab. Banjar. 2016.
- [6] Banjar District Health Office. *Health Profile of Banjar Year 2017. Distric Health Office 2017 (in Bahasa: Dinas Kesehatan Kabupaten Banjar Profil Kesehatan Kabupaten Banjar Tahun 2017*. Dinkes Kab). Banjar. 2017.
- [7] Damanik, Dewi D. 2012. *The Most Favored Breeding Site for Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes by Type of Water Source* (in Bahasa: *Tempat Perindukan yang Paling Disenangi Nyamuk Aedes aegypti Berdasarkan Jenis Sumber Air*). Medan: Universitas Sumatera Utara.
- [8] Khoiriyah, Rahayu Astuti, Sayono. 2010. *Differences in Physical and Chemical Parameters of Breeding Water Based on the Aedes aegypti Larvae in Semarang City* (in Bahasa: *Perbedaan Parameter Fisika dan Kimia Air Perindukan Berdasarkan Keberadaan Jentik Aedes aegypti di Kota Semarang*). Semarang: Universitas Muhammadiyah Semarang.
- [9] Afolabi O. J., Simon-Oke I. A., Osomo B. O. 2013. Distribution, Abundance and Diversity of Mosquitoes in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria. *Journal of Parasitology and Vector Biology*. 2013; Vol. 5(10): 132-136.
- [10] Rao, B. Bhaskar, PS Harikumar, T. Jayakrishnan and B. George. Characteristics of *Aedes (Stegomyia) Albopictus* Skuse (Diptera: Culicidae) Breeding Sites. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health*. 2011; Vol. 42(5): 1077-1082.
- [11] Kasetyaningsih, T., Sundari, S. 2007. Difference Between House Index Involving Well Inspection in the Dengue Vector Survey in Pepe Bantul Hamlet (in Bahasa: *Perbedaan Antara House Index yang Melibatkan Pemeriksaan Sumur pada Survei Vektor Dengue di Dusun Pepe Bantul*”. *Jurnal Kedokteran YARSI*. Yogyakarta. 2006; 14 (1): 034-037.
- [12] Gopalakrishnan, Das M., Baruah I., Veer V., Dutta P. 2013. Physicochemical Characteristics of Habitats in Relation to the Density of Container-Breeding Mosquitoes in Asom, India. *J Vector Borne Dis*. 2013; Vol. 50 (3): 215-9.

- [13] Hidayah, N., Iskandar, Abidin, Z. Prevention of Dengue Hemorrhagic Fever (DHF) Associated with the *Aedes aegypti* Larvae Presence based on the Type of Water Source. *Journal of Tropical Life Science*, [S.l.], v. 7, n. 2, p. 115-120, June 2017. ISSN 2527-4376.
- [14] Sanchez, D. C. G., Pinilla, G.A., Quintero, J. Ecological characterization of *Aedes aegypti* larval habitats (Diptera: Culicidae) in artificial water containers in Girardot, Colombia. *Journal of Vector Ecology*. July 2017. Vol. 42, No. 2: 289-297.
- [15] Angraini, T. S., Cahyati, W. H. Perkembangan *Aedes aegypti* pada berbagai pH air dan salinitas air. *Higeia Journal of Public Health Research and Development*. Juli 2017. Vol 1, No. 3: 1-10.
- [16] Ridha, M. R., Rahayu, N., Rosvita, N. A., Setyaningtyas, D. E. The relation of environmental condition and container to the existence of the *Aedes aegypti* larvae in dengue haemorrhagic fever endemic areas in Banjarbaru. *Epidemiology and Zoonosis Journal*. Mei 2013. Vol. 4, No. 3: 133-137.
- [17] Effendi, H. 2003. "Review Water Quality for Management of Resources and Aquatic Environment". Fifth print (in Bahasa: Telaah Kualitas Air bagi Pengelolaan Sumber Daya dan. Lingkungan Perairan. Cetakan Kelima) Yogyakarta: Kanisius.
- [18] Thangamathi, P., Ananth, S., Kala, N., Maheswari, R., Gnanasoundrai, A., Nagamani, N. Seasonal variations and physicochemical characteristics of the habitats in relation to the density of dengue vector *Aedes aegypti* in Thanjavur, Tamil Nadu, India. *International Journal of Science and Nature*. 2014. Vol. 5 (2): 271-276.
- [19] Madzlan F, Nazri CD, Chua ST, Nurmahirah Z. 2016. Breeding characteristic of *Aedes* mosquitoes in dengue risk area. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 234 (2016): 164-172.
- [20] Olayemi IK, Omalu ICJ, Famotele OL, Shegna SP, Idris B. Distribution of mosquito larvae in relation to physico-chemical characteristics of breeding habitats in Minna, North Central Nigeria. *RIF*. 2010. Vol 1(1): 49-53.
- [21] Yusuf M., Gentur Handoyo, Muslim, Sri Yulina Wulandari, dan Heriyoso Setiyono."Characteristics of Flow Patterns in Relation to Water Quality Conditions and Phytoplankton Abundance in the Waters of Karimunjawa Marine National Park Area (in Bahasa: Karakteristik Pola Arus Dalam Kaitannya dengan Kondisi Kualitas Perairan dan Kelimpahan Fitoplankton di Perairan Kawasan Taman Nasional Laut Karimunjawa". *Buletin Oseanografi Marina*. Oktober 2012. vol. 1: 63 – 74.
- [22] Salmin. Dissolved Oxygen (DO) and Biological Oxygen Needs (BOD) As One Indicator To Determine Water Quality (in Bahasa: Oksigen Terlarut (DO) Dan Kebutuhan Oksigen Biologi (BOD) Sebagai Salah Satu Indikator Untuk Menentukan Kualitas Perairan". *Oseana*. Vol. XXX, Nomor 3, 2005: 21-26. ISSN 0216-1877.